INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Kansas City FILE NUMBER: 200500400

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Josh Marx Date: December 29, 2004

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office Y (Y/N) Date: December 29, 2004

At the project site (Y/N) Date:

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Kansas County: Johnson

Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 38-49-05.1240 / 94-44-29.1120

Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 240 acres

Name of waterway or watershed: Coffee Creek watershed

SITE CONDITIONS:

| Type of aquatic resource ¹ | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 ac | 25-50 ac | > 50 ac | Linear | Unknown |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | feet | |
| Lake | | | | | | | | | |
| River | | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry Wash | | | | | | | | | |
| Mudflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | X | | | | | | | | |
| Slough | | | | | | | | | |
| Prairie pothole | | | | | | | | | |
| Wet meadow | | | | | | | | | |
| Playa lake | | | | | | | | | |
| Vernal pool | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural pond | | | | | | | | | |
| Other water (identify type) | X | | | | | | | | |
| Farm Pond | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

| Migratory Bird Rule Factors¹: | If Known | | If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment | | | |
|---|----------|----|--|-----------------|------------------|--|
| | Yes | No | Predicted | Not Expected to | Not Able To Make | |
| | | | to Occur | Occur | Determination | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by | x | | | | | |
| Migratory Bird Treaties? | | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines? | X | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? | | | | X | | |
| Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? | | X | | | | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary Or Approved x.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

The ponds with fringe wetlands were constructed in upplands and the isolated wetlands are perched outside of a floodplain due to farming practices.